

Gasoline Range Organic Detection Using Headspace Sampling Techniques

Introduction:

Gasoline and/or oils spills can range from catastrophic, the Gulf Oil Spill and Exxon Valdez, to small scale spills caused by cracks in underground storage tanks or car accidents. No matter what the size or cause, the surrounding ground and water will get contaminated. To accurately determine the level of contamination, the surrounding area needs to be tested for Gasoline Range Organic (GRO) compounds. Determination of GRO compounds can be done either by Purge and Trap (P&T) concentration and GC/FID analysis or by Headspace sampling and GC/MS analysis (USEPA Method 5021). This application note will investigate Headspace injection techniques on GRO compounds in a water matrix.

Discussion:

The Markelov HS9000 headspace analyzer has several unique features that aid in headspace analysis. First, it has three distinct injection techniques: loop injection, timed injection and dynamic trapping. Second, it has electronic pressure and flow control. Finally, and most importantly, the HS9000 has the patented horizontal rotary mixing technique, Figure 1. This technique is important to GRO analysis as many GRO samples are unique in their matrix and viscosity and sampling the headspace at a horizontal angle allows for more headspace and therefore better sampling.




Figure 1: Horizontal Mixing Illustration



Gasoline Range Organic samples can range in contamination from extraordinarily high, ppm range, to extremely low, ppb levels. The HS9000 with its three sampling techniques is able to accommodate these diverse levels of contamination. This application note will present linearity, detection levels and precision and accuracy of all three of the sampling techniques.

Experimental:

The Markelov HS9000 was the sampling system utilized for this experiment. For the dynamic sampling technique, the headspace system was equipped with a Vocarb 3000 (K) trap. The

sweep time and sweep flow parameters were selected to displace the headspace volume by a factor of 2 in order to ensure that the entire equilibrated headspace vapor was concentrated. A volume of 30 milliliters was used for this analysis. The HS9000 dynamic sampling parameters are listed in Table 1.

For the loop injection technique, a 1ml loop was used. Both the loop and the timed injection had a 5 minute equilibration time. All sampling techniques used the rotary mixing process at a medium speed. While the samples were pressurized in order to perform the loop and timed injections. The loop and timed injection parameters are listed in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The HS9000 was connected to an Agilent 7890GC and 5975MS for analysis. The GC was configured with an Rxi-624Sil MS 30m x 250µm x 1.4µm column. The GC/MS parameters are listed in Table 4.

| Headspace | Markelov HS 9000 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Trap Type | Vocarb 3000- Type "K" |
| Sample Vial Temperature | 65°C |
| Sample Size | 5 ml |
| Sample Equilibration Time | 2.0 min |
| Mixing | Horizontal Rotary- Medium speed |
| Sample Mode | Adsorbent Trap |
| Trap Ready Temperature | 35°C |
| Moisture Reduction Pre-Trap | Ambient |
| Sweep Flow Rate | 15 ml/min |
| Sweep Time | 2.0 min |
| Dry Sweep | 1 min at 20 ml/min |
| Trap Inject (Desorb) | 250°C for 1.0 min |
| Trap Bake Temperature | 250°C for 8 min |
| Trap Bake Flow Rate | 85 ml/min |

Table 1: HS9000 Dynamic Sampling Parameters

| Headspace | Markelov HS 9000 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Loop Size | 1 ml |
| Sample Vial Temperature | 65°C |
| Sample Size | 5 ml |
| Sample Equilibration Time | 5.0 min |
| Mixing | Horizontal Rotary- Medium speed |
| Sample Mode | Loop Fill |
| Vial Pressurization | 14 psi |
| Pressurization Equilibration Time | 20 sec |
| Loop Fill | 7 psi |
| Loop Equilibration Time | 10 sec |
| Loop Injection Time | 1 min |
| Pre-Trap Bake Temperature | 200°C for 2 min |

Table 2: HS9000 Loop Fill Sampling Parameters

| Headspace | Markelov HS 9000 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sample Vial Temperature | 65°C |
| Sample Size | 5 ml |
| Sample Equilibration Time | 5.0 min |
| Mixing | Horizontal Rotary- Medium speed |
| Sample Mode | Time Injection |
| Vial Pressurization | 17 psi |
| Pressurization Equilibration Time | 10 sec |
| On Column Injection Time | 5 sec |
| Injection Solenoid Temp | 100°C |
| Pre-Trap Bake Temperature | 200°C for 2 min |

Table 3: HS9000 Time Injection Sampling Parameters

| GC/MS | Agilent 7890A/5975C inert XL |
|------------------------|---|
| Inlet | Split/Splitless |
| Inlet Temp. | 200°C |
| Inlet Head Pressure | 12.153 psi |
| Mode | Split |
| Split Ratio | 40:1 |
| Column | Rxi-624Sil MS 30m x 0.25mm I.D. 1.4µm film thickness |
| Oven Temp. Program | 45°C hold for 1 min., ramp 15°C/min to 220°C, hold for 1.3 min. |
| Column Flow Rate | 1.0mL/min |
| Gas | Helium |
| Total Flow | 44.0mL/min |
| Source Temp. | 230°C |
| Quad Temp. | 150°C |
| MS Transfer Line Temp. | 180°C |
| Scan Range | m/z 35-265 |
| Scans | 3.12 scans/sec |
| Solvent Delay | 0.7 min |

Table 4: GC/MS Experimental Parameters

The Gasoline Range Organic standard was obtained from Restek. The standard was Wisc PVOC/GRO and contained ten GRO analytes at a concentration of 100ppm each for a total concentration of 1000ppm. A six point curve was prepared and run for the dynamic headspace technique and five point curves were run for both the loop and timed injection headspace techniques. The dynamic headspace linear range was from 2ppb to 200ppb for the individual analytes (4ppb to 400ppb for p&m-Xylene) and 20ppb to 2ppm for the C6-C10 gasoline range. The loop and timed injection ranges were 100ppb to 2ppm for the individual analytes (200ppb to 4ppm for p&m-Xylene) and 1ppm to 20ppm for the C6-C10 gasoline range. Finally, seven consecutive low point calibration standards were run for each technique in order to establish MDLs and seven consecutive mid-point standards were run for each technique in order to verify

the precision and accuracy. The curve, MDL and precision and accuracy results are all listed in Table 5 while chromatograms of the various techniques are displayed in Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5.

| Compound | Dynamic Headspace | | | | Static Headspace by Loop | | | | Static Headspace by Timed Injection | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|------------------|
| | Curve %RSD | MDL | %RSD at 50ppb | % Rec'y at 50ppb | Curve %RSD | MDL | %RSD at 50ppb | % Rec'y at 50ppb | Curve %RSD | MDL | %RSD at 50ppb | % Rec'y at 50ppb |
| C6-C10 | 4.75 | 4.68 | 4.37 | 9 | 8.38 | 73.09 | 3.95 | 9 | 7.38 | 299.53 | 3.92 | 93.75 |
| MTBE | 5.84 | 0.45 | 4.40 | 96.45 | 5.31 | 5.27 | 3.16 | 103.70 | 2.73 | 12.33 | 3.34 | 98.15 |
| Benzene | 5.73 | 0.32 | 6.15 | 100.56 | 6.22 | 5.84 | 3.77 | 9 | 5.73 | | 3.85 | 95.84 |
| Toluene | 4.09 | 0.29 | 5.18 | 99.91 | 8.13 | 8.56 | 3.92 | 95.56 | 6.60 | 31.33 | 3.90 | 95.96 |
| Ethylbenzene | 3.54 | 0.34 | 4.89 | 9 | 8.43 | 5.34 | 4.44 | 9 | 7.76 | | 4.39 | 94.97 |
| p&m-Xylene | 5.43 | 0.84 | 4.63 | 99.85 | 8.91 | 11.79 | 4.52 | 92.88 | 9.08 | 61.58 | 4.47 | 93.90 |
| o-Xylene | 3.20 | 0.37 | 4.23 | 9 | 8.20 | 4.90 | 3.98 | 9 | 5.85 | | 3.91 | 96.11 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 7.11 | 0.42 | 4.93 | 99.30 | 11.93 | 7.68 | 4.37 | 94.28 | 8.12 | 31.89 | 4.34 | 94.80 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 7.97 | 0.37 | 4.44 | 9 | 10.63 | 6.82 | 4.26 | 9 | 7.25 | | 4.18 | 95.78 |
| Naphthalene | 6.11 | 0.39 | 2.26 | 90.75 | 9.53 | 4.33 | 2.84 | 98.95 | 9.74 | 32.22 | 2.86 | 98.18 |

Table 5: Experimental Result Summary




Figure 2: Chromatogram of 50ppb Standard by Dynamic Headspace




Figure 3: Chromatogram of 500ppb Standard by Static Headspace Loop Injection




Figure 4: Chromatogram of 500ppb Standard by Static Headspace Timed Injection




Figure 5: Overlay of 200ppb Standard by the Three Different Injection Techniques

Conclusion:

All three headspace sampling methods performed consistently and accurately. The dynamic headspace was the most sensitive with the curve linearity going down to 2ppb while the loop and timed injection techniques were similar in their respective sensitivities. Headspace sampling of Gasoline Range Organic compounds is an exceptional technique for this type of examination as dynamic headspace provides the sensitivity for low level detection while loop and timed injections enable the analysis of high levels of contamination without carryover concerns. Furthermore, the Markelov HS9000 with its horizontal sampling and three separate injection techniques proved to be an excellent system for this analysis.

References:

1. Volatile Organic Compounds in Soils and Other Solid Matrices using Equilibrium Headspace Analysis, Method 5021, Revision 0, December 1996.

Headquarters

JSB International
Tramstraat 15
5611 CM Eindhoven
T +31 (0) 40 251 47 53
F +31 (0) 40 251 47 58

Zoex Europe
Tramstraat 15
5611 CM Eindhoven
T +31 (0) 40 257 39 72
F +31 (0) 40 251 47 58

Sales and Service

Netherlands
Apolloweg 2B
8239 DA Lelystad
T +31 (0) 320 87 00 18
F +31 (0) 320 87 00 19

Belgium
Grensstraat 7
Box 3 1831 Diegem
T +32 (0) 2 721 92 11
F +32 (0) 2 720 76 22

Germany
Max-Planck-Strasse 4
D-47475 Kamp-Lintfort
T +49 (0) 28 42 9280 799
F +49 (0) 28 42 9732 638

UK & Ireland
Cedar Court,
Grove Park Business Est.
White Waltham, Maidenhead
Berks, SL6 3LW
T +44 (0) 16 288 220 48
F +44 (0) 70 394 006 78

info@go-jsb.com
www.go-jsb.com

With courtesy of

